

Cor 9.5 Let  $G$  be an ab. top. group w. linear topology induced by a filtration  $(G_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

(1) If  $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K \rightarrow 0$  is a SES of top. groups, with topology on  $H, K$  induced from  $G$  (on  $H$ :  $(H \cap G_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , on  $K$ :  $(\pi G_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ), then

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{K} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(2)  $\hat{G}_n \leq \hat{G}$  and  $\hat{G}/\hat{G}_n \cong G/G_n$ .

(3)  $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong \hat{G}$ . [„The completion is complete.“]

Proof: (1) Apply T9.2 to  $0 \rightarrow H/H \cap G_n \rightarrow G/G_n \rightarrow K/\pi G_n \rightarrow 0$

(2) Apply (1) to  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/G_n \rightarrow 0$ , to get

$$\widehat{(G/G_n)} \cong \hat{G}/\hat{G}_n. \quad \text{Since } G/G_n \text{ is discrete, } \widehat{(G/G_n)} \cong G/G_n.$$

(3)  $\hat{G} \cong \varprojlim_n G/G_n \stackrel{(2)}{\cong} \varprojlim_n \hat{G}/\hat{G}_n \cong \hat{\hat{G}}$  □

Let  $A$  be a ring.

Def: If  $I \triangleleft A$ , and  $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ , the  **$I$ -adic topology** on  $M$  is the one induced by  $M \supseteq IM \supseteq I^2M \supseteq \dots$

$\hat{M} = \varprojlim_n M/I^n M$  is the  **$I$ -adic completion** of  $M$ .

Properties (w/o proof)

1)  $A$  is a topological ring (operations are continuous), and so is  $\hat{A}$ .

$$\ker(A \rightarrow \hat{A}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n \quad \text{; } A \text{ Hausdorff} \iff \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0.$$

2)  $\hat{M} \cong \hat{A} \otimes \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  is continuous

2)  $\hat{M}$  is a top.  $\hat{A}$ -module ( $\hat{A} \times \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  is continuous)

3) If  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$  with  $M, N \in A\text{-Mod}$ , then  $f$  is continuous  
 [follows from  $f(I^n M) = I^n f(M) \subseteq I^n N$ ], so induces a cont.  
 hom.  $\hat{f}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{N}$ .

Def:  $I \trianglelefteq A$ ,  $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ ,  $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots$  filtration.

(1)  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is an **I-filtration** if  $IM_n \subseteq M_{n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0$

(2)  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is **stable** if moreover  $I \cdot M_n = M_{n+1}$  for all suff. large  $n$ .

Lemma 9.6 Stable I-filtrations  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}, (M'_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of  $M$  have **bounded difference**, i.e.,

$$\exists c \geq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 0: M_{n+c} \subseteq M'_n \quad \text{and} \quad M'_{n+c} \subseteq M_n.$$

In particular: they determine the same topology on  $M$  (the I-adic one)

Proof: Suffices to consider  $M'_n = I^n M$ .

$$IM_n \subseteq M_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad M = M_0 \Rightarrow \underline{I^n M} \subseteq M_n.$$

$$\text{Let } n_0 \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq n_0: IM_n = M_{n+1} \Rightarrow \underline{M_{n+n_0}} = I^n M_{n_0} \subseteq \underline{I^n M} \quad \square$$

Def: Let  $I \trianglelefteq A$ ,  $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ , with I-filtration  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

$$R(I, A) := A[[I]] = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n t^n = A \oplus I t \oplus I^2 t^2 \oplus \dots \quad \text{is}$$

the **Rees algebra** of  $A$  w.r.t.  $I$ , and

$$R(I, M) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n t^n = M \oplus M_1 t \oplus M_2 t^2 \oplus \dots \quad \text{is a Rees module}$$

Note:  $R(I, A)$  is an  $A$ -algebra:

$$\forall x \in I^m, y \in I^n: (x t^m)(y t^n) = xy t^{m+n}, \quad \text{and}$$

$R(I, M)$  is a  $R(I, A)$ -module:

$$\forall x \in I^m \forall y \in M_n: (x t^m)(y t^n) = xy t^{m+n}.$$

$\underbrace{xy}_{\in M} \quad \text{bec. I-filtration}$

$$\forall x \in I^m \forall y \in M_n: (x t^m)(y t^n) = xy t^{m+n}.$$

$\in M_{m+n}$  bec.  $I$ -filtration

Prop 9.10 If  $A$  is noetherian,  $M \in A\text{-Mod}$  p.g. with  $I$ -filtration  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , then

$$(M_n)_{n \geq 0} \text{ stable} \iff R(I, M) \text{ is p.g. as } R(I, A)\text{-module.}$$

Proof:  $I$  generates  $R(I, A)$  as  $A$ -algebra.  $A$  noetherian  $\Rightarrow I$  p.g.  
 $\xrightarrow{\text{Hilbert basis theorem}} R(I, A)$  noetherian.

The  $R(I, A)$ -submodule of  $R(I, M)$  generated by  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^k M_n t^n$  is

$$U_k := \bigoplus_{n=0}^k M_n t^n \oplus \bigoplus_{n=k+1}^{\infty} I^{n-k} M_k t^n \quad (k \geq 0)$$

and  $R(I, M) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} U_k$ . Note that  $U_k$  is a p.g.  $R(I, A)$ -module because

each  $M_n$  is a p.g.  $A$ -module.

$$R(I, M) \text{ is a p.g. } R(I, A)\text{-module} \iff \exists k \geq 0: R(I, M) = U_k$$

$$\iff U_0 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq U_2 \dots \text{ stabilizes}$$

$$\iff \exists k \geq 0: \forall n \geq k: I^{n-k} M_k = M_n$$

$$\iff (M_n)_{n \geq 0} \text{ is stable} \quad \square$$

Thm 9.11 Let  $A$  be noetherian,  $I \triangleleft A$ ,  $M$  p.g.  $A$ -module,  $M' \leq M$ .

(1) If  $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a stable  $I$ -filtration of  $M$ , then  $(M_n \cap M')_{n \geq 0}$  is a stable  $I$ -filtration of  $M'$ .

(2)  $(I^n M')$  and  $(I^n M \cap M')$  have bounded differences. In particular:

The  $I$ -adic top. on  $M'$  coincides with the subspace top. induced from the  $I$ -adic top. on  $M$ .

(3) [Artin-Rees Lemma]

$$\exists k \geq 0 \forall n \geq k: (I^n M) \cap M' = I^{n-k} (I^k M) \cap M'$$

$$\exists k \geq 0 \forall n \geq k: (I^n M) \cap M' = I^{n-k} ((I^k M) \cap M')$$

Proof: (1)  $I(M_n \cap M') \subseteq IM_n \cap IM' \subseteq M_{n+1} \cap M'$ , so  $(M_n \cap M')_{n \geq 0}$  is an  $I$ -filtration.  $R(I, M)$  is a noetherian  $R(I, A)$ -module (P9.10), and  $R(I, M')$  is a submodule, so f.g. Thus  $(M_n \cap M')_{n \geq 0}$  is stable (P9.10).

(2) By L.9.6.

(3) Apply (1) to  $(I^n M)_{n \geq 0}$ . □

Cor 9.12  $A$  noetherian,  $I \triangleleft A$ ,  $M, N, K$  f.g.  $A$ -modules.

If  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  is exact, so is  $0 \rightarrow \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{K} \rightarrow 0$  ( $I$ -adic topology)

Proof: C9.5(1) + T9.11. □

Lemma 9.13 (Nakayama, explicit form) If  $M \in A\text{-Mod}$  is f.g. and  $IM = M$ , there exists  $x \in A$  s.t.  $xM = 0$  and  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$ .

Proof: Localize  $A$  at  $S = 1 - I \xrightarrow{2.1.1(2)} S^{-1}I \subseteq \mathcal{J}(S^{-1}A)$

[Show:  $\forall x \in I, a \in A, s \in S: 1 - \frac{a}{s}x \in (S^{-1}A)^\times$

Let  $s = 1 - y$  with  $y \in I$ .  $1 - \frac{a}{s}x \in (S^{-1}A)^\times \Leftrightarrow s - ax \in (S^{-1}A)^\times$   
 $\Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{ax}{s - ax} \in (S^{-1}A)^\times$ , true since  $1 - y - ax \in S$ . ]

$(S^{-1}I)(S^{-1}M) = S^{-1}M \xrightarrow[\text{L.9.5}]{\text{Nakayama}} S^{-1}M = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{if g.}} \exists x \in S: xM = 0$ . □

Thm 9.14  $A$  noeth.,  $I \triangleleft A$ ,  $M$  f.g.  $A$ -module w.  $I$ -adic topology.

Then  $\text{Ker}(M \rightarrow \hat{M}) = \{m \in M : \exists x \in I, (1-x)m = 0\}$

Proof:  $K := \text{Ker}(M \rightarrow \hat{M}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n M$ .

" $\subseteq$ ":  $K$  is the intersection of all nbhds of  $0$  (L9.3), so it has the trivial topology in the subspace topology.

Since  $\emptyset \neq I \underset{0}{\cap} K \subseteq K$  is open,  $IK = K \xrightarrow{\text{L9.13}} \exists x \in I: (1-x)m = 0$ .

" $\supseteq$ ":  $\dots$

since  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = \mathfrak{0}$  is open,  $I \neq K \implies \exists x \in I: (1-x)m = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$ : Suppose  $x \in I, m \in M$  s.t.  $(1-x)m = 0 \implies m = xm \implies m = x^n m \forall n \geq 0$   
 $\implies m \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n M = K$ . □

Cor 9.15 If  $A$  is noetherian,  $I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{J}(A), M$  f.g.  $A$ -module,  
 then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n M = \mathfrak{0}$ , i.e.,  $M$  is Hausdorff in the  $I$ -adic topology.

Proof:  $\forall x \in I: 1-x \in A^\times$ , so T9.14 shows  $\text{Ker}(M \rightarrow \hat{M}) = \mathfrak{0}$ . □

Cor 9.16 (Krull's Intersection Theorem) If  $A$  is noetherian and  
 ( $I \in \mathcal{J}(A)$  OR  $A$  is a domain and  $I \neq A$ ), then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = \mathfrak{0}$ .

Proof: 1<sup>st</sup> case by C9.15,  $M=A$ . Suppose  $A$  is a domain,  $M \subseteq \text{Max}(A)$   
 s.t.  $I \subseteq M \implies A_M$  is a local noetherian ring  $\implies \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n A_M = \mathfrak{0}$ .

Since  $I^n \subseteq I^n A_M$ , also  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = \mathfrak{0}$ . □

Lemma 9.17  $A$  noetherian,  $I \subseteq A$ .

(1)  $\forall n \geq 0: I^n \hat{A} = \hat{I}^n$

(2)  $\forall n \geq m \geq 0: I^m / I^n \cong \hat{I}^m / \hat{I}^n$

(3)  $\hat{I} \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{A})$

Proof: (1) By C9.12, there is a comm. diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I^n & \hookrightarrow & A & \rightarrow & A/I^n \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_{I^n} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \hat{I}^n & \hookrightarrow & \hat{A} & \rightarrow & \hat{A}/\hat{I}^n \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\hat{A}/\hat{I}^n = A/I^n$ , bec.  $A/I^n$  is discrete in the  $I$ -adic topology.

Diagram chase:  $\varphi(I^n) = \hat{I}^n$ .

(2) Consider  $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I^n & \rightarrow & I^m & \rightarrow & I^m/I^n \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \hat{I}^n & \rightarrow & \hat{I}^m & \rightarrow & \hat{I}^m/\hat{I}^n \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$  (again,  $I^m/I^n$  is discrete)

(3) l.d.  $x \in \hat{I}$  s.t. to show  $1-x \in \hat{A}^\times$  (L1.1)

$$\dots \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow 0$$

(3) Let  $x \in \hat{I}$ . Supp. to show:  $1-x \in \hat{A}^\times$  (L1.1).

For  $k \geq 0$ , let  $s_k := \sum_{n=0}^k x^n$ . If  $k, h \geq N$ , then  $s_k - s_h \in \hat{I}^N$ ,

so  $(s_k)_{k \geq 0}$  defines an element  $s$  of  $\varprojlim_k \hat{A}^k / \hat{I}^k \cong \varprojlim_k \hat{A}^k / \hat{I}^k = \hat{A}$

Now  $(1-x)s = 1$  in  $\hat{A}$  (consider mod  $\hat{I}^N$  for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Thm 9.18 If  $(A, \mathfrak{M})$  is a local noetherian ring, then  $\hat{A}$  is local with maximal ideal  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$  (Completion w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{M}$ -adic topology)

Proof: Since  $A/\mathfrak{M} \cong \hat{A}/\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$  (L9.17(2)),  $\hat{A}/\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a field, so  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$  is maximal. Since  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{J}(\hat{A})$  (L9.17(3)), in fact  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{J}(\hat{A})$ .  $\square$

Thm 9.19 If  $A$  is noetherian,  $I \triangleleft A$ , then the  $I$ -adic completion  $\hat{A}$  is also noetherian

Proof Idea: Either using associated graded rings [AM69, Thm. 10.26] or directly [AF23, Thm 7.5.22].

First:  $A$  noetherian  $\Rightarrow A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  noetherian

[Induction on  $n$ , since  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ .

Like Hilbert's Basis Theorem, but work with the lowest coefficient.

Second: let  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_A$ . Show there exists a surjective ring hom.  $\varphi: K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \hat{A} = \varprojlim_m A/I^m$ .  $\square$

Exm: (1)  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{ \frac{a}{b} : p \nmid b \} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p = \{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i : a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \}$

(2)  $X$  variety,  $\underline{a} \in X$ ,  $I(\underline{a})$  max. ideal of  $\underline{a}$ ,

$$A(X) \longrightarrow A(X)_{I(\underline{a})} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : g(\underline{a}) \neq 0 \right\} \xrightarrow{\uparrow} \widehat{A(X)}_{I(\underline{a})}$$

Kruil's intersection theorem

$$10 \quad \dots \quad \widehat{\phantom{A(X)}} \quad \dots \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \dots \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \dots \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \dots$$

Krull's intersection theorem

If  $I(\mathfrak{a})$  is regular,  $\widehat{A(x)}_{I(\mathfrak{a})} \cong K[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ ,  $d = \dim(A(x)_{I(\mathfrak{a})})$ ,  
by **Cohen's Structure Theorem** (not proven; [AF23, Cor. 10.6.7])